

~~19~~ 20. The process of claim ~~17~~¹⁶, wherein said transgenic non-human mammal is a cow.

~~11~~ 21. The transgenic non-human mammal of claim ~~14~~¹⁰, wherein said transgenic non-human mammal is selected from the group consisting of mice, rats, rabbits, pigs, sheep, goats and cows.

~~12~~ 22. The process of claim ~~21~~¹¹, wherein said transgenic non-human mammal is ^asheep.

~~13~~ 23. The process of claim ~~21~~¹¹, wherein said transgenic non-human mammal is a goat.

~~14~~ 24. The process of claim ~~21~~¹¹, wherein said transgenic non-human mammal is a cow.--

REMARKS

Claims 17-24 have been added by amendment, so that claims 1-4, 6-9, 11, 12, 14 and 16-24 are pending. Support for the new claims can be found in the specification, for example, on page 16, lines 19-20. No new matter has been added.

In the office action dated April 30, 1996, the examiner had rejected claims 12 and 14 under 35 USC §112, first paragraph. In the advisory action dated August 26, 1996, the examiner maintained the rejection with the statement that "[a]pplicants' evidence that cows have been produced which make a heterologous protein in their milk does not broadly enable any protein given the art's silence on transgenic cows as bioreactors" (page 1, paragraph 4). Accordingly, applicants understand the examiner's position to be that the specification is "enabling," within the meaning of §112, with respect to production of transgenic mice, rats, rabbits, pigs, sheep, and goats, but not as to transgenic cows.